

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

Writ Petition (S) No.332 of 2016

1. Smt. Geeta Shandilya, aged about 42 years, W/o Vijay Kumar, Occupation Service (New Girls Ashram Kotra), Police Station, Tahsil & District Sukma (C.G.), Civil District Dakshin-Bastar (Dantewada) (C.G.)
2. Smt. Shweta Toppo, aged about 36 years, W/o Albert Toppo, Occupation Service (Government Primary School Telangapara Gongla), Police Station, Tahsil & District Sukma (C.G.), Civil District Dakshin-Bastar (Dantewada) (C.G.)
3. Devendra Telam, aged about 42 years, S/o Deva Telam, Occupation Service (Ashram School Puspalli), Police Station, Tahsil & District Sukma (C.G.), Civil District Dakshin-Bastar (Dantewada) (C.G.)
4. Smt. Kameshwari Dhruv, aged about 42 years, W/o Pyari Lal Dhruv, Occupation Service (Government Primary School Kudkel), Police Station, Tahsil & District Sukma (C.G.), Civil District Dakshin-Bastar (Dantewada) (C.G.)

---- Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through the Secretary, Panchayat & Rural Development Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, New Raipur, P.S. Kewli, Tahsil & District Raipur, Civil & Revenue District Raipur (C.G.)
2. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat Sukma, Police Station, Tahsil & District Sukma (C.G.), Civil District Dakshin-Bastar (Dantewada) (C.G.)

---- Respondents

For Petitioners:	Mr. Ratnesh Kumar Agrawal, Adv.
For Respondent No.1/State:	Mr. Ajit Singh, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal

Order On Board

05/02/2016

1. Counsel for the parties submit that the issue raised in this writ

petition is covered by the decision of this Court in W.A. No.388/2014 (Tukaram Patel v. State of Chhattisgarh and others) decided on 23-3-2015 in which in paragraph 5, the Division Bench of this Court has directed as under: -

“In the circumstances, we are of the opinion that there has been no consideration of the grievances of the Petitioners. The Writ Appeals are disposed with a direction to the Respondents to consider the representations of the Petitioners under the circular dated 2.11.2011 in accordance with law, and dispose it by a reasoned and speaking order within twelve weeks from the date of conviction and/or receipt of a copy of the present order.

2. Accepting the submission of parties, the writ petition is disposed of in terms of the order dated 23-3-2015 passed in Tukaram Patel (supra). No order as to costs.

Sd/-
(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge