

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR****WPS No. 231 of 2016**

1. Chandramani Rathore S/o Late Shri Rikhiram Rathore, Aged About 57 Years Occupation Sub Inspector (M) Working Under The Office Of Superintendent Of Police Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)
2. N.P. Suryawanshi, S/o Late Shri S.R. Suryawanshi, Aged About 56 Years Occupation Sub Inspector (M) Working Under The Office Of Superintendent Of Police Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.
3. Lakshmichandra Dewangan, S/o Late Shri G.L. Dewangan, Aged About 57 Years Occupation Subedar (M) Working Under The Office Of Superintendent Of Police Mungeli, Chhattisgarh.
4. Smt. Sunita Dhariya, W/o Shri S.K. Dhariya, Aged About 57 Years Occupation Subedar (M), Working Under The Office Of Superintendent Of Police, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.
5. Smt. Chhaya Goyal, W/o Arun Goyal, Aged About 52 Years Occupation Sub Inspector (M), Working Under The Office Of Superintendent Of Police Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.
6. Om Prakash Thakur, S/o Late Shri Kumar Singh Thakur, Aged About 62 Years Occupation Subedar (M) Working Under The Office Of Superintendent Of Police Mungeli, Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioners**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Department Of Home And Police Affairs, Secretariat, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, P. S. And Post Rakhi District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
2. Director General Of Police, Police Head Quarter, Civil Line, P. S. Civil Line, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. Inspector General Of Police, Office Of The Inspector General Of Police Bilaspur Range, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Shri Badruddin Khan, Advocate
For Respondents/State	:	Shri Dhiraj Kumar Wankhede, Govt. Advocate.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal**Order On Board**

21/01/2016

(1) At the outset, learned counsel for the parties would fairly submit that the issue involved in the present writ petition has already been decided by this Court in W.P. (S) No.6698/2014 {Rammani Tripathi Vs. State of Chhattisgarh & others} on 24.12.2014, filed as Annexure-P-4 and the present writ petition may also be disposed of in similar terms.

(2) Accordingly, the present writ petition is disposed of in terms of the order passed in W.P. (S) No.6698/2014. However, it is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and the respondents would be at liberty to decide the representation strictly in accordance with law.

Sd/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge

D/-