

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 759 of 2013**

- Amarnath Tiwari S/o Late Shri S.P. Tiwari Aged About 50 Years Working As Assistant Foreman, Longwal Project, Kumda Sub-Area Bishrampur Area, Police Station Bishrampur, Distt. Surajpur C.G.

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. South Eastern Coalfields Limited, Through The Managing Director, Headquarter, Bilaspur, Police Station Sarkanda, District Bilaspur C.G.
2. The General Manager South Eastern Coalfields Limited Bishrampur Police Station Bishrampur Distt. Surajpur C.G.
3. Chief Medical Officer South Eastern Coalfields Limited Central Hospital Bishrampur Police Station Bishrampur Distt. Surajpur C.G.
4. Area Personnel Manager South Eastern Coalfields Limited Bishrampur Area, Police Station Bishrampur Distt. Surajpur C.G.

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri Shahid Ahmed Ansari, Advocate
For Respondents	:	Ms. Vijay Laxmi Saraf, Advocate on behalf of Shri Shailendra Shukla, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Prashant Kumar Mishra**Order On Board****06/04/2016**

1. The petitioner has preferred this writ petition for quashing the order dated 25-2-13 (Annexure P-1) and for direction to the respondents to provide medical facility/treatment to his Daughter as per Rule 12 (iii) of the Medical Attendance Rules (for short 'the Rules') as also for releasing the amount of medical expenses incurred by the petitioner for treatment of his Daughter.
2. In course of hearing learned counsel for the petitioner confirmed that a sum of Rs.4,32,000/- approximately has been paid to him out of the total bill of

Rs.5,31,000/- approximately.

3. In view of the above, the major part of the payment has already been made to the petitioner. The petitioner has not submitted break-up of the medical bills and the head on which the said bills have not been cleared.
4. In view of the vague pleadings, the petition for the remaining amount does not survive.
5. For the present, the writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to move representation before the competent authority of the respondent for the balance amount, if admissible under the Rules.
6. Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-

Judge
Prashant Kumar Mishra

ashu