

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

Order Sheet

W.P.(S) No. 4609 of 2011

M.D. Malakar, S/o. Late Shri K.B. Malakar, aged about 37 years, Working as Revenue Sub Inspector, Municipal Corporation, Raigarh, District Raigarh (C.G.)

----Petitioner

VERSUS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through The Secretary, Department of Urban Administration and Development, D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya, District Raipur (C.G.).
2. The Municipal Corporation, Raigarh, Through : Its Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Raigarh, District – Raigarh (C.G.)

-----Respondents.

W.P.(S) No. 4621 of 2011

Anusuiya Gahlot, W/o. Late Shri D.S. Gahlot, aged about 55 years, Working as Moharrir, Municipal Corporation, Raigarh, District – Raigarh (C.G.)

----Petitioner

VERSUS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through The Secretary, Department of Urban Administration and Development, D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya, District Raipur (C.G.).
2. The Municipal Corporation, Raigarh, Through : Its Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Raigarh, District – Raigarh (C.G.)

-----Respondents.

W.P.(S) No. 4618 of 2011

Ramesh Das, S/o. Late Shri Sonwa Das, aged about 43 years, Working as Revenue Sub Inspector, Municipal Corporation, Raigarh, District – Raigarh (C.G.)

----Petitioner

VERSUS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through The Secretary, Department of Urban Administration and Development, D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya, District Raipur (C.G.).
2. The Municipal Corporation, Raigarh, Through : Its Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Raigarh, District – Raigarh (C.G.)

-----Respondents.

AND

W.P.(S) No. 4711 of 2011

Nilima Dey, W/o. Shri Sukumar Dey, aged about 59 years, Working as Assistant Grade-III, Municipal Corporation, Raigarh, District Raigarh (C.G.)

----Petitioner

VERSUS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through The Secretary, Department of Urban Administration and Development, D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya, District Raipur (C.G.).
2. The Municipal Corporation, Raigarh, Through : Its Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Raigarh, District – Raigarh (C.G.)

-----Respondents.

21/03/2016	Mr. Sushobhit Singh, counsel for the petitioners. Mr. Gary Mukhopadhyay, Dy.G.A. for the State. Mr. S.S. Baghel, Advocate on behalf of Mr. B.D. Guru, counsel for the respondent No.2. Heard on application for withdrawal of the writ petitions. It is submitted that with the efflux of time, the impugned order dated 04.08.2011 has been withdrawn by the respondent No.2, therefore, the petitioners do not want to continue with the petitions. On due consideration, the application is allowed and the petitions are dismissed as withdrawn. Sd/- (Goutam Bhaduri) Judge	
balram		