

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATISGARH AT BILASPUR

W. P. NO. 2412 OF 2002

PETITIONER

Awadh Bihari Singh, S/o Shri
Ram Avatar Singh, aged about
42 years, Occupation-Service,
presently posted as Krishi
Vikas Adhikari, Bl'ck Marwahi
District Bilaspur (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. Union of India through the
Secretary, Ministry of the
Personnel & Public Grievance
& Pension (State Reorg'tion)
Govt. of India, New Delhi.
2. Director, State Reorg'zation
(Department of Personnel &
Training), Government of
India, New Delhi.
3. The State of Chhattisgarh
thru' Secretary, Department
of Agriculture, Mantralaya,
Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan,
Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
4. State of Madhya Pradesh
Thru' Secretary, Department
of Agriculture, Vallabh
Bhawan, Bhopal (M P)
5. Director (Horticulture),
Chhattisgarh, Raipur (C.G.)
6. Director (Horticulture),
Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal(MP)
7. Assistant Land Conservation
Officer, Sub Division Pendra
Road, District Bilaspur (C.G)
8. Assistant Director (Horticulture]
Raigarh, Distt. Raigarh (C.G)
9. Assistant Director (Horticulture]
Jashpurnagar, District
Jashpurnagar (Chhattisgarh)

RECEIVED-COPY
21/5/07
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

Ghar?

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN
NATURE OF MANDAMUS PROHIBITION AND OTHER
SUITABLE WRIT OR WRITS, DIRECTION OR
DIRECTIONS, ORDER OR ORDERS:

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR****WP No. 2412 of 2002**

- Awadh Bihari Singh

---- Petitioner

Versus

- Union Of India & Ors.

---- Respondent

For Petitioner	:	Shri Ajroj Khan, Advocate
For Respondents-State	:	Shri Shashank Thakur, GA for the State with Shri J.S. Kakaria, Deputy Director (Agriculture), Bilaspur

Hon'ble Shri Justice Prashant Kumar Mishra**Order On Board****08/04/2016**

1. Learned State counsel would inform, on instructions, that the petitioner was allowed interim relief on 20-12-02, however, he never turned up for joining and serving in the department. It is also stated by learned State counsel that a sum of Rs.60,000/- remains to be paid to the petitioner on account of the bills of Traveling Allowance submitted by the petitioner and all other dues have been paid to the petitioner.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner would not dispute the statement, however, he would pray that the amount of Rs.60,000/- may be directed to be paid forthwith.
3. In view of the above, controversy involved in this writ petition now survives only for the amount of Rs.60,000/-, therefore, the writ petition is disposed of

with a direction to the respondents to pay the above stated amount of Rs.60,000/- to the petitioner within a period of two months from today. If any other amount remains unpaid, the petitioner may prefer a representation before the competent authority within a period of one month, which shall also be decided in accordance with law within a further period of three months.

Sd/-

Judge

Prashant Kumar Mishra

Ashu