

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.21149 of 2013

- =====
1. Kumar Vijay Narayan Singh Son Of Sri Muni Ram Singh Resident Of Village - Sahejani, P.O. - Hasan Bazar, P.S. - Piro, District - Bhojpur
 2. Nawal Kishore Tiwari Son Of Late Gauri Shankar Tiwari Resident Of Vill. - Kritpur Mathiya, P.O. - Kritpur, P.S. - Harsidhi, District - East Champaran
 3. Kunj Bihari Prasad Son Of Late Chamaru Ram Resident Of Vill. - Karserua, P.O. - Darigaon, P.S. & District - Sasaram
 4. Vishawanath Paswan Son Of Late Mangal Paswan Resident Of Vill. - Sadanandpur, P.O. - Lakhmaniya, P.S. - Balia, District - Begusarai
 5. Vijay Nath Tiwari Son Of Sri Shiv Lagan Tiwari Resident Of Vill. + P.O. - Magadhpur Gudaria, District - W. Champaran
 6. Smt Om Kumari Wife Of Gulab Chand Singh Resident Of Vill. + P.O. - Takia Bazar, Dist. - Rohtas

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through The Chief Secretary, Government Of Bihar, Patna
2. The Principal Secretary, Dept Of Education (Hrd) Government Of Bihar, Patna
3. The Principal Secretary, Food & Civil Supply, Bihar, Patna
4. The Secretary, Food & Civil Supply And Commerce, Bihar, Patna
5. The Secretary, Finance Deptt. Government Of Bihar, Patna
6. The Director, Directorate Of Education, Primary & Adult Education, Government Of Bihar
7. The Director, Adult & Non- Formal Education, Government Of Bihar
8. The District Education Officer. Rohtas, Sitamarhi And Muzaffarpur
9. The Accountant General, Bihar, Patna

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Hareram Singh,
Mr. B.N. Tiwari, Advocate.

For the State : Mr. Rajiv Roy, GP-1
Mr. Suresh Kumar, Ac to GP-1

Fro A.G. Bihar : Mr. Satyendra Kumar Jha,
Mr. Bindhyachal Rai, Advocate.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 19-12-2016 Heard the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that their
cases are identical to the case of Baliram Singh and other v.



The State of Bihar and others (C.W.J.C. No.22208 of 2013) and they are entitled to the same benefit as has been given to them.

In such view of the matter, respondents are directed to consider the cases of the petitioner and if their cases are identical to Baliram Singh and others the same benefit will be extended as has been given to them.

With the aforesaid observation this writ petition is disposed of.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

Vinay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

