

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14579 of 2013

=====
Miridula Kumari, wife of Wanjay Singh, resident of Village - Lashkarichak, P.S.
Fatuha, District - Patna

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Social Welfare, Government Of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director I.C.D.S. Directorate, Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Patna.
5. The Child Development Project Officer, Fatuha, P.S. Fatuha, District – Patna.
6. Archana Kumari @ Kiran Kumari Wife Of Nitish Kumar @ Manchu Kumar Resident Of Village - Lashkarichak, P.S. Fatuha, District – Patna.

.... Respondents

=====
Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jagdish Prasad Singh, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Saiyed Salim Khan, S.C.-25

=====
CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 10-11-2016

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

In the present case, claim has been made that
respondent No.6-Archana Kumari has wrongly been selected as
Anganbari Sevika as she was holding the qualification of
Madhyama, which cannot be a valid qualification for the
appointment on the post of Anganbari Sevika.

It appears that the petitioner instead of exhausting the
alternative remedy under the scheme has directly approached this

Court by filing this writ application.

In such view of the matter, let the petitioner exhaust the alternative remedy by filing appropriate application before the appellate authority. If the order is passed against the petitioner, it will be open to her to challenge the same in accordance with law. The appellate authority while deciding the case of the petitioner will take into consideration the pendency of this application before this Court.

With the aforesaid observations and directions, the writ application is disposed of.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

pawan/-

AFR/NAFR	N.A.F.R.
CAV DATE	N/A.
Uploading Date	15.11.2016
Transmission Date	