

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.356 of 2016

- =====
1. Satya Narayan Prasad Son of Late Baijnath Prasad
 2. Smt. Savitry Devi Wife of Sri Satyanarayan Prasad
 3. Sumit Sagar @ Sadhu Son of Sri Satyanarayan Prasad All R/o Savitry Sadan, Priyadarshini Nagar, D.P. More, P.S. Rupaspur, Bailey Road, District Patna

.... Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through The Superintendent of Police, Patna
2. The Officer Incharge, Danapur, P.S. Danapur, District Patna
3. The State of Jharkhand through the Director General of Police, Jharkhand, Ranchi
4. The Superintendent of Police, Khunti (Jharkhand)
5. The Deputy Superintendent of Police, Khunti (Jharkhand)
6. The Officer Incharge, Murhu Police Station, District Khunti, Jharkhand
7. Mahadev Sao Son of Late Paras Sao
8. Smt. Urmila Devi Wife of Sri Mahadeo Sao
9. Mikku @ Jhappu Son of Sri Mahadeo Sao
10. Smt. Priti Kumari @ Soni Wife of Sri Moti Gupta, D/o Mahadeo Sao
11. Manoj Gupta Son of Late Dhrub Prasad Gupta All are resident of Village and P.S. Murhu, District Khunti, Jharkhand

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioners : Mr. Kameshwar Prasad Gupta, Adv.
Mr. S.K. Sharaf, Adv.
For the State : Mr. Alok Ranjan, AC to GA-6
For the Respondents : Mr. Satya Vrat Verma, Advocate.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 28-07-2016 This application has been filed seeking quashing of the First Information Report of Murhu Police Station Case No. 80 of 2014. The said Murhu Police Station is within the State of Jharkhand.

Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners has submitted, referring the First Information Report that part cause of action for registration of the First Information Report had

arisen within the jurisdiction of this Court and, therefore, this Court can exercise power under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India for quashing of the First Information Report.

The submission, so advanced, on behalf of the petitioners, is absolutely misconceived. This Court cannot quash a criminal proceeding pending in a Court, beyond the territorial jurisdiction of this High Court.

This application is, accordingly, dismissed.

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

Amit/-

U			
---	--	--	--