

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2620 of 2015

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 6537 of 2012

=====

Uma Shanker Prasad, son of Late Ram Bahadur Prasad, resident of village Chandpura, P.S. Neema Chandpura, District Begusarai, presently residing at Mohalla Lohiya Nagar, near Chitragupta Mandir, P.O. Suhird Nagar, P.S. & District Begusarai

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The Bihar State Electricity Board now designated as Bihar State Power Holding Company Ltd. through Pratya Amrit, Chairman cum Managing Director, State Power Holding Company Ltd., Patna
2. Kriti Kiran, Secretary, Bihar State Electricity Board now redesignated as Company Secretary, Bihar State Power Holding Company Ltd., Patna
3. R. Lakshmanan, Member Finance, Bihar State Electricity Board now redesignated as Managing Director, South Bihar Power Distribution Company Ltd.
4. Dr. Pratima, Finance Controller, Bihar State Electricity Board now redesignated as Managing Director, North Bihar State Power Distribution Company Ltd.
5. Dr. Pratima, Deputy Director of Accounts (Secretary), Bihar State Electricity Board now redesignated as Managing Director (Administration), North Bihar State Power Holding Company Ltd. Patna

All of Bihar State Power Holding Company Limited, Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna

.... Opp. Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Alok Ranjan, Advocate

For the Opp. Parties : Mr. Ajay Kumar Gautam, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH KUMAR DATTA
ORAL ORDER

2 17-11-2016 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the Bihar State Electricity Board, now Bihar State Power (Holding) Company Limited.

The direction of this Court by order dated 25.7.2012 passed in CWJC No. 6537 of 2012 was to recalculate the pay scale of the petitioner giving him the benefit of double pay fixation from 7.5.1983 and decide upon the recovery, which can be made on the basis of the said re-fixation of pay from the remaining amount of



DCR Gratuity.

From the show cause filed on behalf of the opposite parties it is evident that the order under contempt has already been complied with as recalculation has been made and the excess amount recovered has been paid to the petitioner.

In the above circumstances, the contempt application is dismissed.

(Ramesh Kumar Datta, J)

spal/-

U			
---	--	--	--

