

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8722 of 2016

=====

Sangeeta Devi, wife of Sri Ashok Kumar, resident of Fatuha, P.O. and P.S. Fatuha, District Patna, presently Ward Councilor, Ward No.2, Nagar Panchayat, Fatuha, P.O. and P.S. Fatuha, District Patna

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Urban Development and Housing Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
2. The Principal Secretary, Urban Development and Housing Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
3. The Executive Officer, Urban Development and Housing Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
4. Shobha Devi, wife of Sri Umesh Paswan, presently Chief Councilor, Nagar Panchayat Fatuha, P.O. and P.s. Fatuha, District Patna

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. S.B.K.Mangalam, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Rajeev Ranjan Kr. Pandey, SC29

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 18-05-2016 Heard Mr. S.B.K. Mangalam learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the State.

Although the petitioner prays for an appropriate direction to the authorities of the State Government to proceed against the private respondent under Section 25(5) of the Bihar Municipal Act, 2007 (hereinafter referred to as 'the Act') for her act of omission and commission in discharging of function as Chief Councillor, Nagar Panchayat, Fatuha in the district of Patna but surprisingly there is nothing on record to show that the petitioner has moved the State Government with any such complaint nor there is any material on record to support the allegation.

In the circumstances, no directions can be issued on the present writ petition and the petitioner if so advised, can take recourse to the lawful remedy as is available to her in law with supporting documents.

The writ petition is disposed of.

Bibhash/-

U			
---	--	--	--

(Jyoti Saran, J)