

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3888 of 2012

=====

M/S Kraft Outdoor Media Pvt. Ltd.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar & Ors

.... Opposite parties.

=====

with

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.4127 of 2012

=====

1. Klick Advertising & Marketing Through Its Proprietor Sri Kaushal Kishore S/O Late Yamuna Singh Of Himalya Complex, Boring Road, P.S. Sri Krishna Puri, District Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through Secretary Mr. Sudhir Kumar, Urban Development, Government Of Bihar.
2. The Patna Municipal Corporation, Patna Through The Municipal Commissioner, Patna, Mr. Pankaj Kumar Pal.
3. Mr. Afzal Imam, The Mayor, Patna Municipal Corporation, Patna.
4. Mr. Pankaj Kumar Pal, The Municipal Commissioner Cum Chief Executive Officer, Patna Municipal Corporation, Patna.
5. Mr. Chandrama Singh, The Addl. (Dy.) Commissioner (Revenue), Patna Municipal Corporation, Patna.
6. Mr. R.K. Verma, The Chief Engineer, Patna Municipal Corporation, Patna.
7. Mr. Sunil Kumar, The Surveyor, Patna Municipal Corporation.
8. Mr. P.K. Rai, The Accounts Officer, Patna Municipal Corporation.

.... Opposite parties.

=====

Appearance :

(In MJC No.3888 of 2012)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Akhilesh Kumar

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Avnish Nandan Sinha Gp11

(In MJC No.4127 of 2012)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sangeet Deokuliar

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Kumar Sachin Gp24

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V. NATH

ORAL ORDER

35 04-05-2016

No body appears on behalf of the

petitioners.

The learned counsel for the State-

respondents are present.

It appears that the M.J.C.No.3888/2012, M.J.C.No.3847/2012 and M.J.C.No.4127/2012 have been placed together. These three applications have been filed praying for initiating a proceeding of contempt against the respondents for willful deliberate disobedience of the common order dated 29.06.2012 passed in C.W.J.C.No.2721/2012 alongwith C.W.J.C.No.3949/2012 and other analogous writ petitions.

It appears from the order dated 30.03.2016 that the submission was made on behalf of the petitioners that the letters patent appeal preferred against the order passed in the aforesaid writ petitions has been allowed. However, the matter was adjourned on the prayer of the learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that the copy of the order passed in the letters patent appeal has still not been supplied to the petitioners.

The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner in M.J.C.No.3847/2012 has prayed to withdraw the application and the said prayer has been allowed.

No body has appeared on behalf of the petitioners as mentioned above in the remaining two cases i.e. M.J.C.No.3888/2012 and M.J.C.No.4127/2012.

The learned counsel appearing for

the State-respondents in these two applications are present.

In view of the aforesaid facts, these two applications are also dismissed as not pressed.

(V. Nath, J)

Nitesh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

