

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.68 of 2016

IN

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 19263 of 2013

=====

Shashi Shankar Roy Son of Shyam Sundar Singh resident of Village- Konandpur
P.S. - Pakari Barawan, District- Nawada.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The Union of India, through Sri Rakesh Garg the Secretary, Department of Telecommunications, Sanchar Bhawan, New Delhi.
2. Gyanendra Prasad, Chief Managing Director, Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited, Sanchar Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Sri Anupam Srivastava, the Chief General Manager, Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited, Kolkata.
4. Sri V.K Singh, the General Manager, Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited, Telecom Project, Patna.
5. Sri M.K. Prabhakar, Deputy General Manager, Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited, Telecom Project, Patna.
6. P.R. Mandal, Divisional Engineer, Telecom, Transmission Project Division, Nawab Bagh Colony, Near Police Line, Bhagalpur now at Ground Floor, Telephone Exchange Building, Radha Rani Sinha Road, Bhagalpur.
7. Sri S.K. Sah SDE, Telecom Project, through the Divisional Engineer, Telecom Transmission Project Division, Nawab Bagh Colony, Near Police Line, Bhagalpur now at Floor, Telephone Exchange Building, Radha Rani Sinha Road, Bhagalpur.
8. Sri S.K Hembram, Junior Telecom Officer, through the Divisional Engineer, Telecom Transmission Project Division, Nawab Bagh Colony, Near Police Line, Bhagalpur now at Floor, Telephone Exchange Building, Radha Rani Sinha Road, Bhagalpur.

.... Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Mukund Mohan Jha, Advocate

For Opposite Parties : Mrs. Kanak Verma, CGC

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 21-12-2016

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel
for the opposite parties.

2. The petition has been filed alleging deliberate violation of
the order of this Court dated 20.08.2015 passed in CWJC No. 19263 of



2013 requiring the authorities to consider the petitioner’s case and taking decision at their end.

3. Learned counsel for the opposite parties makes statement at the Bar on instructions that the admitted dues of the petitioner amounting to Rs. 37,412/- has been paid to him by Cheque No. 289005 dated 30.11.2016 which has duly been acknowledged by the petitioner on 14.12.2016. A copy of the relevant letter dated 14.12.2016 issued by the Senior Accounts Officer, Telecom Project, Patna containing the petitioner’s endorsement of having received the Cheque has been placed on record.

4. In the above view of the matter, this contempt petition stands disposed of with liberty to the petitioner that in case he is aggrieved by the order of the authority as noticed above, he may agitate the same before an appropriate forum in accordance with law if so advised.

(Vikash Jain, J)

B.T/Chandran

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	23.12.2016
Transmission Date	N.A.

