

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18994 of 2015

- =====
1. Ajay Kumar Rajak son of Kamehswar Rajak, Resident of village- Jawaharpur. P.O.- Chorbey Ambara, P.S- Sarainya, District- Muzaffarpur.
 2. Rameshwar Mahto son of late Ram Sahay Mahto, Resident of village- Jawaharpur. P.O.- Chorbey Ambara, P.S- Sarainya, District- Muzaffarpur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the District Magistrate, Muzaffarpur.
2. The Land Reforms Deputy Collector, Muzaffarpur.
3. The Circle Officer, Sarainya, Muzaffarpur.
4. Guddan Kumar Singh, S/o Sunil Singh. Resident of village- Bishunpur Anand, P.S.- Saraiya, District- Muzaffarpur.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Kalyan Shankar, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. GP13- NASIM YAHYA

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI
SHARAN SINGH

JUDGMENT AND ORDER

ORAL

(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date: 04-07-2016

Heard Mr. Kalyan Shankar, learned Counsel, appearing on behalf of the petitioners, and Mr. Nasim Yahya, learned Government Pleader No.13, appearing on behalf of the State-respondents.

Having regard to the fact that the present writ petition, made under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, in the form of a Public Interest Litigation, raises several disputed questions of fact, this Court is of the clear view that this writ petition, in the light of

the disputed questions of fact, cannot be decided in a Public Interest Litigation.

In view of the above, and for the foregoing reasons, this writ petition is disposed of giving liberty to the petitioner to approach this Court with appropriate application, in future, if so advised, and also to take recourse to such provisions of law as may be permissible.

(I. A. Ansari, ACJ)

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

K.C.jha/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	5.7.2016
Transmission Date	