

Arising from the above, the following questions are posed:

1. Biru Polanco
2. Sukh
- Shan

The State

=====

Appear

For the

1. Biru Paswan, S/o Sri Ram Bilash Paswan, R/o Vill. Gulabbagh, Pologram Bagicha, P.S. Sadar, District – Purnea.

.... Petitioners

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amit Kumar Anand

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Kalyan Shankar

4. 22-11-2016 Heard Sri Amit Kumar Anand, learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Addl. Public Prosecutor.

It has further been argued that in this case, some of

the accused persons were earlier put on trial, vide Sessions Trial No. 215 of 2015 and in the said trial, all the accused persons were acquitted.

In this case, earlier a report was called for from the court below, which has been received and kept at flag 'X'. The report of the 1st Addl. Sessions Judge, Purnea is peculiar in nature. He has stated that the record of the said trial was received on 08-08-2016; charge has already been framed and seven charge-sheeted witnesses are yet to be examined. In view of earlier direction regarding expected date for conclusion of trial, the learned 1st Additional Sessions Judge, Purnia has stated that the expected period for concluding the trial is about two years. Meaning thereby that in near future, there is no chance for conclusion of the trial.

Keeping in view the fact that the co-accused, on whose confession petitioners have been made accused, has already been granted bail, there is no reason to further detain the petitioners.

Let the petitioners namely Biru Paswan and Sukan Sharma @ Sukharu Kumar @ Sukhna Kumar be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bonds of **Rs. 10,000/-** (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned

3rd Additional Sessions Judge (Successor), Purnea in connection
with Sessions Trial No. 209 of 2016, arising out of Sadar P.S.
Case No. 358 of 2014.

(Rakesh Kumar, J.)

Anay

U		T	
---	--	---	--