

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.25652 of 2013

- =====
1. Ravi Bhushan Bharat S/O Sh. Krishna Nand Pandey And Smt. Rani Pandey Residing At MIG Block No. 2, Sec 7, Sector 3, Bhootnath Road, Patna- 26
 2. Gaurav Kumar S/O Anil Kumar Residing At - 206, Laxami Apartment, Chitragupta Nagar, Kankarbagh, Patna - 20
 3. Sumit Jha S/O Girindra Mohan Jha Resident Of B-2, Bankmens Colony, Kankarbagh, Patna- 20

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Learned Registrar General , Patna High Court, Office At Patna High Court, Patna.
2. The Secretary, Bihar State Bar Council, Office At Bar Council Bhavan, Patna High Court.
3. The Secretary General, Advocate's Association, Patna High Court, Office, At Patna High Court, Patna.
4. The Secretary, Bar Association, Patna High Court, Office At Patna High Court, Patna.
5. The Secretary, Lawyer's Association, Patna High Court, office at Patna High Court, Patna.
6. The Secretary, District Bar Association Patna Civil Court, Office at Patna Civil Court.
7. The Secretary, District Bar Association Patna City Civil Court, Office at Patna Civil Court.
8. The Secretary, District Bar Association, Danapur Civil Court, Office At Danapur Civil Court.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Chandra Kant

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Bindhyachal Singh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KISHORE KUMAR
MANDAL
ORAL ORDER

10 31-08-2016 Heard Mr. Sumit Kumar Jha for the petitioners and Mr. Parijat Saurav who assists Mr. Bindhyachal Singh for the respondent no.1.

I.A. No. 7044 of 2014 is filed on behalf of the petitioners seeking amendment in the prayer. In fact, the petitioners in the said Interlocutory Application has made certain

suggestion(s) to the respondent no.1 for effecting alteration /modification /improvement in the Rules framed by the High Court under Section 34(1) of the Advocates Act, 1961 regulating the procedure for enrolling lawyers as Advocate on Record to practice in this Court.

Mr. Jha states that the application, in so far as petitioner no.3, namely, Sumit Jha has become infructuous as he has been enrolled as AOR. It has also fairly been submitted that in view of the Rule provision(s) and the stand of the respondent the petitioner nos. 1 and 2 have no case to succeed. The suggestion(s) made by the petitioners in the present case for effecting appropriate alteration/modification/improvement in the Rules framed by the High Court shall be made by the petitioners before the respondent no.1 for consideration on the administrative side. The counsel for the respondent no.1 has not objected to such prayer of the petitioners. It has been submitted that if any such request/suggestion is made, the same shall be placed for consideration on the administrative side of the High Court.

Granting the said liberty to the petitioners, the writ application is dismissed.

(Kishore Kumar Mandal, J)

Shyam/-

U			
---	--	--	--