

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.19613 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -55 Year- 2015 Thana -CHAURADANO District-
EASTCHAMPARAN(MOTIHARI)

=====

1. Shambhu Sah S/o Rameshwar Sah
2. Mithilesh Mahto S/o Yogi Mahto
3. Nanhak Sah @ Surender Sah S/o Shambhu Sah
4. Sikindra Sah @ Salik Sah S/o Shambhu Sah
All of village. - Raghunathpur, P.S. Chhauradano, Distt. - East
Champaran

.... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sangeet Deokuliar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sadanand Paswan (Spl.Pp)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 19-05-2016 Heard Sri Sangeet Deokuliar, learned counsel for

the petitioners and Sri Sadanand Paswan, learned Special Public

Prosecutor.

Four petitioners, apprehending their arrest in
connection with Chhauradano P.S.Case no.55 of 2015, G.R.
No.284 of 2015 registered for the offence under Sections 341, 323,
379, 354, 504, 506, 313/34 and 120B of the Indian Penal Code and
Section 3(1)(x)(xi)(xv) of the Schedule Castes & Schedule Tribes
(Prevention of Atrocities) Act, have prayed for grant of
anticipatory bail.

At the very outset, learned counsel for the

petitioners, by way of referring to statement made in paragraph-6 of the petition, submits that the informant is by caste Kanu and is not member of either Schedule Caste or Schedule Tribe and, as such, there is no question of applicability of SC/ST Act. He further submits that for some trivial rivalry in between the parties, occurrence had taken place.

Learned Addl. Public Prosecutor opposing the prayer of the petitioner submits that there is specific allegation in the F.I.R. against the petitioners.

Be that as it may, I do not find any ground for extending the privilege of anticipatory bail. The petition stands dismissed.

(Rakesh Kumar, J)

NKS/-

U			
---	--	--	--