

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CIVIL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION No.100 of 2016**

=====

Amit Kumar Singh

.... Appellant/s

Versus

Gayatri Devi

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Sarvesh Kumar Singh

For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MUNGESHWAR
SAHOO
ORAL ORDER**

2 04-08-2016

Heard the learned counsel, Mr. Sanjeev Ranjan for the
petitioner.

Perused the order dated 23.04.2015. It appears that in
criminal miscellaneous application i.e. anticipatory bail
application, the petitioner-husband was directed to pay Rs.1,500
per month as condition for the grant of anticipatory bail and this
order was made subject to the order that may be passed in
maintenance matter.

By the impugned order dated 23.04.2015, the learned
Principal Judge, Family Court, Saran at Chapra in Divorce Case
No.275 of 2012 taking into account the order passed in
anticipatory bail directed the petitioner to pay Rs.3,000 per month
including the aforesaid Rs.1,500 per month under Section 24 of
the Hindu Marriage Act.

From perusal of the impugned order, it appears that the

order is reasoned order and it cannot be said that the order passed by the Court below is not in accordance with law or the Court passed the order having no jurisdiction or it exercised the jurisdiction in the manner not permitted by law.

Therefore, I find no reason to interfere with the impugned order. Thus, this civil miscellaneous application is dismissed.

(Mungeshwar Sahoo, J)

Saurabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--