

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.48244 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -139 Year- 2016 Thana -DINARA District- SASARAM (ROHTAS)

=====

1. Kesho Chaudhary, son of Late Kunwar Chaudhary
2. Kumari Devi, wife of Kesho Chaudhary
Both are resident of village - Jigana, P.S. Dinara, District -
Rohtas

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajani Kant Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 28-11-2016 Heard Sri Rajani Kant Singh, learned counsel for
the petitioners and learned Addl. Public Prosecutor.

Two petitioners, apprehending their arrest in Dinara
P.S. Case No.139/2016 registered for the offence under Sections
498A, 307/34 of the Indian Penal Code, have prayed for grant of
bail in the event of their arrest or surrender.

It was submitted by learned counsel for the
petitioner that in the F.I.R. the informant has alleged that the
petitioners, being father-in-law and mother-in-law, had put her on
fire, fact remains that petitioners are not own father-in-law and
mother-in-law of the informant. He submits that even in the F.I.R.,
the husband was not made accused. According to learned counsel



for the petitioners, only with a view to grab the property of the petitioners, the present false case was instituted. At the time of hearing, it has been argued that during treatment, the informant, who had received burn injury, had died. In view of serious accusation levelled against both petitioners in the F.I.R., there is no reason to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail.

The petition stands dismissed.

(Rakesh Kumar, J)

NKS/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

