

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.236 of 2015

=====

Anandi Sah Son of Late Tunuk Sah, Resident of Village- Rajganj, P.S. &
P.O.- Bihariganj, Dist- Madhepura

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, Department of Revenue,
Government of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna
2. The Collector of the District, Madhepura
3. The Additional Collector, Collectariate, Madhepura
4. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms Uda Kishunganj, Dist- Madhepura
5. The Anchal Adhikari Bihariganj Dist- Madhepura
6. Geeta Devi W/o Sri Shambhu Sah Resident of Village- Rajganj, P.S. &
P.O.- Bihariganj, Dist- Madhepura

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mrs. Mira Kumari, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : None.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA PRASAD VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 19-12-2016 Heard the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner. Nobody appears on behalf of the respondents.

The present writ petition seems to be completely misconceived and untenable. By the impugned order dated 14.8.2014 passed in Mutation Appeal No. 44 of 2007-08 by the respondent D.C.L.R., Udakishanganj, as contained in Annexure-5, aforesaid mutation appeal filed on behalf of the respondent no.6 has been rejected, but with a liberty to approach the competent authority under the provisions of The Bihar Land Disputes Resolution Act, 2009. Apparently, by the impugned order, no specific relief has been granted to the respondent no.6.

Furthermore, if the petitioner is at all aggrieved by the aforesaid order dated 14.8.2014 (Annexure-5), then he has a remedy before the revisional authority, but he has not approached that authority.



In above view of the matter, the writ petition is not only untenable, rather it is devoid of merits as well. It is accordingly dismissed.

(Birendra Prasad Verma, J)

BTiwary/-

U			
---	--	--	--

