

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.760 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -null Year- null Thana -null District- PURNIA

=====

Anup Kumar, Son of Shri Rajesh Prasad, Resident of Village- Khazanchi Hat, P.S.- K.Hat, District- Purnea.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Purnea.
5. The Superintendent of Police, Purnea.
6. The Circle Officer, Purnea East Anchal, District- Purnea.
7. The S.H.O., K.Hat Police Station, District- Purnea.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance:

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Praveen Kumar Singh, AC to SC-8.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HEMANT GUPTA

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 31-08-2016

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has invoked the writ jurisdiction of this Court claiming a direction to the respondents to provide protection of his life and property.

3. The petitioner is a combatant with Indian Air Force. He purchased a piece of land through a registered sale deed dated 11.12.2012. The grievance of the petitioner is that he wanted to raise construction upon the land, whereas some antisocial elements are not permitting him to raise construction and that he informed the nearest police station to provide security so as to complete the construction work but to no avail.

4. It is further asserted that the petitioner is residing outside the State and there is no one in his native village to look after the land and that some antisocial elements have encroached his land as well.

5. The grievance of the petitioner in respect of piece of land purchased by him. Such grievance cannot be redressed with the intervention of this Court so as to direct the police to provide protection to life and property of the petitioner, as it is not asserted that who are the unsocial elements, who are threatening the petitioner and who have encroached his land.

On the basis of such vague allegations, there cannot be any direction to the respondents to provide any police protection to the petitioner. I do not find any merit in the present writ application. Accordingly, this writ application is dismissed.

However, the petitioner is permitted to submit a representation to the police authorities for redressal of his grievance, as and when he feels threat to his life and property. If a representation is filed by the petitioner, the competent authority shall consider the same in accordance with law.

(Hemant Gupta, J.)

Mishra/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	07.09.2016
Transmission Date	N.A.