

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10381 of 2013

- =====
1. Kamla Devi Wife of late Jagdish Chandra Mehta Resident Of Village - Gaurbigha, P.S. Rajgir, District - Nalanda, At Present Village - Ranabigha, P.O. Ranabigha, P.S. Deep Nagar, District - Nalanda
 2. Purushottam Kumar Sinha
 3. Narottam Chandra Mehta Both sons of late Jagdish Chandra Mehta All Resident Of Village - Gaurbigha, P.S. Rajgir, District - Nalanda, At Present Village - Ranabigha, P.O. Ranabigha, P.S. Deep Nagar, District - Nalanda
 4. Purnima Devi Wife of Sri Babu Lal Prasad, Daughter of late Jagdish Chandra Mehta Resident of Village & P.O. Laund, P.S Sirdalla, District Nawada.
 5. Rinku Kumari Sinha wife of Sri Shashi Kant Kumar, Daughter of Late Jagdish Chandra Mehta Resident of Village-Hargawan, P.O- Manpur, P.S Manpur, District Nalanda.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Accountant General Bihar, Patna
3. The Collector Nalanda
4. The Additional Collector, Establishment, Nalanda
5. The District Panchayat Raj Officer, Nalanda
6. The Sub - Divisional Officer, Hilsa, Nalanda
7. The Block Development Officer, Islampur, Nalanda

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Umesh Kumar Verma

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Md. Nadeem Seraj, G. P. 5

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 10-08-2016

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and
learned counsel for the State.

2. In the present writ application a claim has been
made that the petitioner has not been given the benefit of 2nd A.C.P.
as well as the benefit of 6th pay revision commission report but from
the record it appears that both the benefits have been given to the

petitioner whereupon learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he was granted A.C.P. from 2003 vide memo no. 1255 dated 24.12.2010 but the actual monetary benefit has been given to the petitioner from 2005. The record does not disclose in what manner the calculation of financial benefit has been made by the respondents.

3. In such view of the matter, let the petitioners file a detailed representation before the Collector, Nalanda, who is directed to dispose of the said representation of the petitioners within three months from the date of filing of such representation.

4. With the direction aforesaid, this writ application is disposed of.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

Amin/-

U			
---	--	--	--