

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.52603 of 2013

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -184 Year- 2013 Thana -SACHIWALAYA District- PATNA

- =====
1. Harish Chandra Mishra, Son of Late Radha Krishna Mishra Quarter No. 127/800, Lal Bahadur Shastri Nagar, Police Station - Shastri Nagar, District - Patna
 2. Binod Kumar Son of Late Chhathu Ram Road No.6/B, Qr. No. 4, Block No.3, Gardanibagh, Police Station Gardanibag, District - Patna
 3. Sanjeet Kumar Son of Late Saryug Ram Resident of Bir Basawan Nagar, Rukunpura, Police Station Rupaspur, District - Patna
 4. Bidya Sharma Son of Sri Khenghar Sharma Road No.2, North Patel Nagar, Police Station - Patliputra, District - Patna
 5. Lal Chand Yadav Son of Late Bir Chand Yadav Road No.9, Qr. No. 15, Gardanibagh, Police Station Gardanibagh, District - Patna
 6. Kishori Lal Gupta Son of Late Radhe Sah, Birla Colony, Phulwarisharif, Police Station Phulwarisharif, District - Patna
 7. Om Prakash Prasad Son of Late Mahendra Prasad Resident of North Patel Nagar, Police Station Patliputra, District - Patna
 8. Jayant Kumar Son of Sri Jag Narayan Prasad Resident of At + P.O. Hati, Police Station Kako, District - Jehanabad
 9. Azad Kumar Singh Son of Late Shiv Kumar Singh Resident of Mohalla - Uttari Patel Nagar, Road No. 2a, P.O. Keshari Nagar, Police Station - Patliputra, Patna - 800024

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Rohit Raj Singh, S/o Dr. C.B.N. Singh, Dr. Chandradeo Narayan Singh, D/33, Veena Niwas, P.C. Colony, Kankarbagh, Patna-800020

.... Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jitendra Singh, Senior Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jharkhandi Upadhyay (App)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI
SHARAN SINGH
ORAL ORDER

6 16-05-2016

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

From perusal of the First Information Report., I find
that cognizable offence under Section 419, 420, 467,
468/471/120(B) of the Indian Penal Code is made out. The F.I.R.,

in such circumstances, can not be quashed in exercise of power under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C.

It goes without saying that the petitioners shall be at liberty to raise any point as has been raised in the present application at appropriate stage of framing of charge or any other subsequent stage, as may be permissible to him in accordance with law.

With the aforesaid observation this application stands disposed of.

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

siddharth/-

U			
---	--	--	--