

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1373 of 2007

=====

Chandra Kishore Yadav @Suresh Kumar Yadav, son of late Yamuna Prasad Yadav, resident of village Barharwa, P.O. Domari, P.S. and Anchal Jogapatti, District- West Champaran.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Collector, West Champaran.
3. The Circle Officer, Anchal- Jogapatti, District West Champaran.
4. Jai Narain Yadav.
5. Hari Yadav, both sons of late Singhashan Yadav, resident of Village and P.O. Chamukha, P.S. and Anchal Yogapatti, District West Champaran.
6. Bhola Raut.
7. Dhruv Raut, both sons of Bikram Raut, resident of Village Barharwa, P.O. Dumari, P.S. and Anchal Jogapatti, District West Champaran.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None.

For the Respondent No.1 to 3 : Mr. U.B.Singh, AC to G.P. 2

For the Respondent No. 4 & 5: Mr. B.N. Mishra
Mr. B.K. Mishra

=====


CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA PRASAD VERMA
ORAL ORDER

6 09-03-2016 Despite repeated calls, none appears on behalf of the petitioner in support of the present writ petition, though the name of the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner is printed in the daily cause list.

The learned State counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent no. 1 to 3 as also the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent no. 4 and 5, by referring to the impugned orders as also the averments made in the counter-affidavit filed on behalf of the respondent no. 2 and 3 have submitted that the impugned orders cannot be legally faulted and the writ petition is liable to be dismissed.

Be that as it may, since none is appearing on behalf of the petitioner, the writ petition stands dismissed for want of

prosecution.



(Birendra Prasad Verma, J)