

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2169 of 2015

IN

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 3873 of 2014

- =====
1. Pramod Kuamr Pathak, Son of Late Radhakant Pathak, Resident of Village Andhra, P.O. Andhra, P.S. Adapur, District Motihari (West Champaran).
 2. Chandeshwar Thakur, Son of Late Ramjatan Thakur, Resident of Village Basuhaar, P.O. Basuhaar, P.S. Punpun, District Patna.
 3. Ramkumar Prasad, Son of Late Dinesh Prasad, Resident of Village Pharharwa, P.O. Harnatar, P.S. Naurangea, District West Champaran (Bettiah).
 4. Ram Prabesh Kumar, Son of Shri Bansi Ram, Resident of Village Sisauni, P.O. Mokama Ghat, P.S. Mokama, District Patna.
 5. Vijay Prasad, Son of Mewa Ram, Resident of Village Aunta, P.O. Mokama Ghat, P.S. Hatidah, District Patna.
 6. Brijnandan Prasad, Son of Jagarnath Mahto, Resident of Village Bansdih, P.O. Bansdih, P.S. Chandi (Tharthari), District Nalanda.
 7. Rama Shankar Sharma, Son of Late Narayan Sharma, Village Bhamsi, P.O. Dihai, P.S. Chenari, District Sasaram.
 8. Ranjit Ram, Son of Late Ram Chandra Ram, Resident of Village Sudih, P.O. Parsa, P.S. Gauri Chak, District Patna.
 9. Amleshwar Ram, Son of Shivnandan Ram, Resident of Izra, P.O. Izzra, P.S. Sangrampur, District Motihari (West Champaran), Bihar.
 10. Pramod Mishra, Son of Late Balbhadra Mishra, Resident of Village Kewri, P.O. Tajpur, P.S. Khijar Sarai, District Gaya.

.... Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Director General of Police, Government of Bihar, P.K. Thakur @ Pramod Kumar Thakur, the Director General of Police, Government of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna.
2. Sunil Kumar, the Inspector General of Police (Welfare), Bihar, Patna. Respondent No.3 of the writ petition.
3. Shalin, the Deputy Inspector General of Police, Central Range, Patna. Respondent No.5 of the writ petition.
4. Vikash Vaibhav, the Superintendent of Police, Patna. Respondent No.6 of the writ petition.

.... Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioners : Mr. Dharmendra Kumar Sinha
For the Opp. Parties : Mr. Nadeem Seraj, G.P.20

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 04-05-2016

Heard counsel for the petitioners as well as counsel for

the State.

The contempt application is dismissed because there is substantial compliance of the direction of this Court dated 20.03.2015. If the petitioners have grievance with regard to the manner in which such a decision or non-decision has been taken with regard to grant of such promotions on educational qualification and eligibility, they have free to approach the forum of their like. The issue being urged by the petitioners cannot be answered in the contempt jurisdiction.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J.)

SanjayKumar/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	05.05.2016
Transmission Date	