

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Criminal Miscellaneous No. 34500 of 2016**

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -11 Year- 2016 Thana -SC/ST District- JEHANABAD

1. Uttam Ram.  
2. Gautam Ram  
3. Sudhir Ram  
All sons of Shri Deonandan Ram resident of Village- Ghatan, P.S. Kako,  
District- Jehanabad.

.... .... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... .... Opposite Party

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Deepak Kumar Singh  
Mr. Rajesh Kumar  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sadanand Paswan  
Mr. Girish Chandra Sharma

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2. 06-09-2016 Heard Sri Deepak Kumar Singh, learned counsel, who was assisted by Sri Rajesh Kumar, learned counsel for petitioners, Sri Sadanand Paswan, learned Addl. Public Prosecutor as well as Sri Girish Chandra Sharma, learned counsel, who has voluntarily appeared on behalf of informant.

Three petitioners have approached this Court, with a prayer to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail in Jehanabad SC/ST P.S. Case No. 11 of 2016 registered for offence under Sections 341, 323, 354, 504, 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 3(1) (g) (w) (i) of the Scheduled Castes and the Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Amendment Act,

2015 (in short ‘SC/ST Act’).

At the very outset, learned Addl. Public Prosecutor as well as learned counsel for the informant, by way of referring to the F.I.R., submit that it is a case, in which allegation has been made regarding violation of provision under Section 3 of the SC/ST Act and in view of Section 18 of the Act, anticipatory bail petition may not be entertained.

Learned counsel for petitioners tried to persuade the Court that it is a false case.

However, keeping in view the material on record, I do not find any ground to entertain the present anticipatory bail petition.

Accordingly, it is dismissed.

**(Rakesh Kumar, J.)**

Anay

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|