

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13298 of 2014

- =====
1. Ram Nath Paswan @ Ram Nath Ram Son of Late Basjid Paswan
 2. Raju Paswan Son of Late Rajendra Paswan
 3. Satendra Paswan alias Munna @ Satendra Kumar Son of Late Raj Nath Paswan
 4. Bishambhar Paswan Son of Late Dukhi Paswan
 5. Mahendra Paswan Son of Harinarayan Paswan all residents of Mo. - Kuraich, PS - Sasaram District - Rohtas.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Divisional Commissioner, Patna Division, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Rohtas.
4. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Sasaram, Rohtas.
5. Jagdish Narayan S/o Late Chaturbhuj Sahay
6. Akhileshwar Narayan Son of Late Chaturbhuj Sahay, both 5 and 6 resident of Mo.-Kuraich, PO and PS - Sasaram Town District Rohtas.
7. Krishna Paswan Son of Laldeo Paswan
8. Laldeo Paswan son of Ram Phal Paswan, all residents of Mo- Kuraich, PS - Sasaram District - Rohtas.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None.

For the Respondent Nos. 1 to 4 :Mr. Sushil Kumar, GP-22

=====


CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA PRASAD VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 10-11-2016 Despite repeated calls, none appears on behalf of the petitioners in support of the present writ petition, though the names of the learned counsel appearing on their behalf are printed in the daily cause list.

However, the learned GP-22 appearing on behalf of the respondent nos. 1 to 4, on the other hand, submits that the order impugned is appealable before the prescribed appellate authority, therefore, at this stage, the present writ petition is not maintainable.

Be that as it may, since none is appearing on behalf of the petitioners, the writ petition stands dismissed for want of

prosecution.



(Birendra Prasad Verma, J)