

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1554 of 2016

=====

Ram Jitan Choudhary S/o Late Jagdish Choudhary Resident of Village-
Vishanpurmanora, P.S.- Bahadurpur, District- Darbhanga.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Rural Development, Govt. of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Rural Development, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate cum Collector, Darbhanga, District- Darbhanga.
5. The Deputy Development Commissioner, Darbhanga, District- Darbhanga.
6. The District Panchayati Raj Officer, Darbhanga.
7. The Sub Divisional Officer, Darbhanga, District- Darbhanga.
8. The Circle Officer, Bahadurpur, District- Darbhanga.
9. The Block Development Officer, Bahadurpur, District- Darbhanga.
10. The Mukhiya, Wazidpur Gram Panchayati Raj Block Cum Circle- Bahadurpur, District- Darbhanga.
11. The Gram Panchayat Secretary- Wazidpur Gram Panchayati Raj Block cum Circle Bahadurpur, District- Darbhanga.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Prabhat Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Ojha, AC to – GP7

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE RAVI RANJAN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 07-12-2016

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

In view of limited prayer made at the time of hearing
of this matter, this writ application is being disposed of, without going
into the merit of the case, with a direction to the District Magistrate,
Darbhanga to take a decision on its own merit and in accordance with
law upon the representation filed by the petitioner, a copy of which



has been appended as Annexure 7 within a period of two months from the date of receipt / production of a copy of this order, if the same has already not been done.

It is made clear that in case the petitioner's application is not found in the record then the District Magistrate would be at liberty to ask the petitioner to file a fresh representation setting out his ground. However, everything would have to be done within the aforesaid time framed. After a decision is taken then consequential action would be required to be taken by the competent authority. If the petitioner's claim is rejected then a reasoned order would have to be passed and communicated to the petitioner.

(Dr. Ravi Ranjan, J)

Spd/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	06.03.2017
Transmission Date	NA

