

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.21539 of 2011

=====

Vijay Kumar Singh S/O Late Ram Khelawan Singh R/O Village- Auhari,
P.S.- Nawadah, District- Nawadah

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Secretary Department of Rural Development Authority, Govt. Of Bihar, Patna
3. District Magistrate, Nawadah
4. Deputy Development Commissioner cum Chief Executive Officer District Rural Development Authority, Nawadah
5. Deputy Development Commissioner, Nawadah
6. Sub-Divisional Officer, Rajauli, Nawadah
7. Block Development Officer, Roh, District- Nawadah
8. Block Supply Officer, Roh, Nawadah
9. Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat, Auhari, Block Roh, District- Nawadah
10. Panchayat Secretary, Auhari Gram Panchayat, P.S.-Roh, District- Nawadah

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Wasi Ahmad Khan, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Avnish Nandan Sinha GP11

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN
ORAL ORDER

4 22-04-2016 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

2. The present writ petition has been filed for quashing the order dated 27.06.2011 passed by the Sub-divisional Officer, Rajauli (Respondent No. 6) whereby and whereunder he has rejected the application filed by the petitioner and directed him to deposit Rs. 2,14,840/- in the office of DRDA, Nawadah within 15 days.

3. The matter in issue herein stands well covered by the

judgment of this Court dated 21.09.2015 passed in CWJC No. 5638 of 2011(Raiful Azamand others vs. State of Bihar and others) and analogous cases, whereby, *inter alia*, a three member Enquiry Commission had been constituted for detailed enquiry into the matter of considerable loss caused to the State exchequer in the context of the charges of retention of rice by PDS dealers.

4. In the above view of the matter and after hearing the parties, this writ petition is disposed of in line with the judgment of this Court dated 21.09.2015 passed in CWJC No. 5638 of 2011(Raiful Azamand others vs. State of Bihar and others) and analogous cases and the observations and directions contained therein shall accordingly govern the petitioner of the present case.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Chandran

U			
---	--	--	--