

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.413 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -null Year- null Thana -null District- BEGUSARAI

- =====
1. Surendra Singh Son of Late Jitendra Kumar Singh, resident of Mohallah - Mungeriganj, Ward No. 32, P.S. Town, District - Begusarai

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Home Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna
2. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna
3. The Additional Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna
4. The Inspector General of Police, Bhagalpur
5. The Deputy Inspector General of Police, Munger
6. The Superintendent of Police, Begusarai
7. The Deputy Superintendent of Police, Town, Begusarai
8. The Deputy Superintendent of Police, Teghra, Begusarai
9. The Deputy Superintendent of Police, Majhaul, Begusarai
10. The Officer - in - Charge of Lohiya Nagar, Begusarai
11. The officer - in - Charge of Twon, Begusarai
12. The Central Bureau of Investigation, Bihar, Patna

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amit Kumar Anand, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Dr. Anil Kumar Upadhyay, SC-20
For the CBI : Mr. Sanjay Kumar, SC/CBI

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 04-07-2016

Heard Mr. Amit Kumar Anand, learned Counsel, appearing on behalf of the petitioner, and Mr. Anil Kumar Upadhyay, learned Standing Counsel No.20, appearing on behalf of the State-respondents. Heard also Mr. Sanjay Kumar, learned Standing Counsel, appearing on behalf of the Central Bureau of Investigation.

In Begusarai Town Police Station Case No.635 of 2014, the police, upon completion of investigation, has submitted *charge*

sheet, under Sections 302, 201 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, naming, amongst others, the present petitioner as accused.

With the help of this application, made under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner seeks reinvestigation of the case on the ground that he is innocent. The innocence and/or guilt of the accused is to be determined at the stage of trial unless the Court decides to discharge the accused upon perusal of the materials, which may have been collected during investigation.

Having regard to the nature of grievances, which have been expressed by the petitioner, this Court is clearly of the view that no case for reinvestigation of the case aforementioned is made out.

In the result and for the foregoing reasons, this writ petition stands dismissed.

(I. A. Ansari, ACJ)

Mkr./-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	12.07.2016
Transmission Date	12.07.2016