

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7232 of 2016**

=====

Md. Murshid Alam

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

Naziya Sahin

.... .... Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Binay Kumar

For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MUNGESHWAR SAHOO**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2 19-07-2016

Heard learned counsel, Mr. Abdul Manan, for the  
petitioner.

It appears that this application under Article 227 of  
the Constitution of India has been filed by the petitioner for  
issuance of writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order  
or direction commanding the learned court below to accept the  
compromise.

In view of the decision of the Supreme Court, (2015)  
**5 Supreme Court Cases 423 (Radhey Shyam & Anr vs Chhabi**  
**Nath & Ors)** the Civil Courts are not amenable to writ jurisdiction  
of the High Court. Further in the case of **Shalini Shaym Shetty &**  
**Anr. Vs. Rajendra Shankar Patil, (2010) 8 Supreme Court**  
**Cases 329** it has been held by the Supreme Court that High Court  
never issues writ under Article 227 of the Constitution of India.

Therefore, this writ application is dismissed as not maintainable.

Harish/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

**(Mungeshwar Sahoo, J)**

