

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.16973 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -38 Year- 2015 Thana -PURANHIA District- SHEOHAR

=====

1. Paramjit Ram S/O Hari Ram
2. Rahul Ram S/O Mahesh Ram
Both are resident of village Nanhakar Simardah P.S. Majorganj (Suppi
O.P.), Dist. Sitamarhi..... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Uday Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Raj Ballabh Singh (App)

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA MOHAN
SHARMA**
ORAL ORDER

3 20-06-2016 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
counsel representing the State.

The petitioners seek bail in connection with Purnahiya
P.S. Case No. 38 of 2015 registered for the offences punishable
under Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 324, 307, 436, 427 of the
Indian Penal Code, Section 27 of the Arms Act and Section 17 of
C.L.A. Act.

Allegedly, 10-12 unknown miscreants armed with
deadly weapon put fire in the office of brick kiln of the informant
and caused firearm injury to Ram Naresh Maurya due to non
payment of levy.

Submission is of false implication and that the
petitioners are not named in the first information report, name of
the petitioners has come on the basis of confessional statement of

co-accused and except that and some criminal antecedent there is nothing against the petitioners. Co-accused Vikash Kumar @ Vikash Patel has already been allowed bail by another co-ordinate Bench of this Court and the petitioners are suffering in custody since 11.12.2015 but they have not been put on test identification parade.

Learned APP fairly submits that co-accused Vikash Kumar @ Vikash Patel has been allowed bail.

In the facts and circumstances stated above, the petitioners above named are directed to be released on bail on execution of bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sheohar in connection with Purnahiya P.S. Case No. 38 of 2015, subject to the conditions that one of the bailors must be a near relative and another having sufficient immovable property within the territorial jurisdiction of the court concerned and the petitioners shall remain present on each and every date during trial and the default on two consecutive dates on their part without any reason shall disentitle the petitioners from privilege of bail.

(Jitendra Mohan Sharma, J)

avin/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--