

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18615 of 2015

=====

Krishna Kant Mishra, S/o Late Radha Raman Mishra, R/o Gayatri Apartment, Flat No. 401, near D.I.G.'s Residence, Badi Khanharpur, P.S. - Barari, Town & District - Bhagalpur.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The T. M. Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur, through its Registrar.
2. The Vice-Chancellor, T.M. Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur.
3. The Registrar, T.M. Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur.
4. The Finance Officer, T.M. Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur.
5. The Principal, Sabour College Sabour, District - Bhagalpur.
6. The State of Bihar, through the Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, New Secretariat, Patna .
7. The Director, Department of Higher Education, Government of Bihar, New Secretariat, Patna.
8. The State Govt. Auditor, posted in T.M. Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Purushottam Kumar Jha, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Kundan Kumar, A.C. to S.C. 32
For the University	:	Mr. Gautam Kejriwal, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE RAVI RANJAN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 16-05-2016

It is contended that M.J.C. (Contempt) Case No.977 of 2015 was disposed of vide order dated 29.04.2015 (Annexure 6) granting liberty to the petitioner to approach the authority concerned, if he still feels aggrieved.

It is contended that the petitioner had approached the Registrar of the University and vide Annexure 7 and 8 he has given calculation regarding amount which is still payable to the petitioner but nothing has been decided, however, that representation has not

been appended with the petition.

Accordingly, this writ application is being disposed of granting liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh representation before the Registrar of the University, who will consider the case of the petitioner in accordance with law and take a decision within a period of three months from the date of filing of such representation along with a copy of this order. If some amount is found admissible to the petitioner then immediate steps for payment of the same should be taken and for the disputed amount, a reasoned order should be passed. Details of the calculation made should also be supplied to the petitioner.

(Dr. Ravi Ranjan, J)

Sanjay-II/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	16.6.2016
Transmission Date	NA