

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3198 of 2008

Jagdeo Besra, son of Sri Mangal Besra, resident of village Samdo Dhapri, P.S. Sangrampur, District Munger.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Commissioner, Munger Division, Munger.
2. The District Magistrate, Munger, District Munger
3. The Deputy Development Commissioner, Munger, District Munger.
4. The Sub-divisional Magistrate, Tarapur, District Munger.
5. The Block Development Officer, Sangrampur, District Munger.
6. The Executive Engineer, Special Division, Minor Irrigation, Munger.
7. Assistant Engineer, Shangrampur Block, District Munger.
8. The Junior Engineer, Shangrampur Block, District Munger.

.... Respondents

Appearance:

For the Petitioner : Mr. Subodh Kumar Jha, Advocate
Mr. Krishna Kumar, Advocate

For the Respondents : AAG 10

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 10-11-2016

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Counsel for the State.

2. The present writ petition has been filed for a direction to the respondents to make payment of the amount claimed by the petitioner to be due to him pursuant to work having been completed against *Yojna Sankhya* 5/1997-98 regarding construction of *Anganwari Kendra* at Saunda Dhapri, P.S. Sangrampur, District Munger.

3. It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that despite being entitled to the amount as claimed by the petitioner, only partial payment has been made, leaving a considerable amount outstanding to be paid. It is further submitted that the final bill after measurement

has been approved and a completion certificate has also been issued (Annexure-1).

4. In the above view of the matter, this writ petition is disposed of with consent of the petitioner, granting him liberty to approach the Deputy Development Commissioner, Munger, District Munger (Respondent No. 3) with a fresh representation for redressal of his grievances. If any such representation is filed within a period of four weeks from today, the same shall be considered and disposed of, ensuring payment to the extent found due to the petitioner, within a period of six weeks from the date of receiving the petitioner's representation. In case the petitioner's claim is found inadmissible, whether in whole or in part, the petitioner's representation shall be disposed of by a speaking order in that regard.

5. It is made clear that this Court is not expressing any opinion on the merits of the claim of the petitioner.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Chandran/BT

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	23.11.2016
Transmission Date	N.A.