

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Letters Patent Appeal No.2016 of 2015

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 3515 of 2015

=====

Ruby Kumari, wife of Ashok Kumar, resident of Village + Post - Khiriaon West, P.S. - Kachchawa, District - Rohtas at Sasaram.

.... Appellant

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Secretary, Department of Welfare, Government of Bihar.
3. The Divisional Commissioner, Patna Division, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Rohtas at Sasaram.
5. The District Welfare Officer, Rohtas at Sasaram.
6. The District Program Officer, Rohtas at Sasaram.
7. The Block Development Officer, Nasriganj, District - Rohtas at Sasaram.
8. The Child Development Project Officer, Nasriganj, District - Rohtas.
9. The Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Raj Khiriaon, Block - Nasriganj, District - Rohtas at Sasaram.
10. Gudiya Kumari Wife of Shri Arun Kumar Gupta resident of Village - Khiriaon, Post - Nasriganj, District - Rohtas at Sasaram.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Om Prakash Upadhyay with Mr. Abhay Kumar Pandey, Advocates

For the State : Mr. Gyan Prakash Ojha, G.A.7 with Mr. Uday Shankar Pandey, AC to G.A. 7

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH KUMAR DATTA

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH KUMAR DATTA)

2 23-12-2016

I.A.No.8977 of 2015

The interlocutory application has been filed for condonation of the delay of 144 days in filing the appeal.

On a consideration of the facts and circumstances and upon hearing learned counsel for the appellant and learned counsel for the State, the delay in filing the appeal is condoned.

I.A.No.8977 of 2015 is, accordingly, disposed of.

Mr. Om Prakash Upadhyay, learned counsel for the



appellant, seeks permission to withdraw the appeal stating that since the disqualification of the appellant on the post of Anganwari Sevika has no existence anymore as her relative has lost the election of Up-Mukhiya, hence she may take a chance if fresh appointment is made in future.

The appeal is, accordingly, dismissed as withdrawn taking note of the aforesaid stand of the appellant.

(Ramesh Kumar Datta, J)

spal/-

(Arun Kumar, J)

U			
---	--	--	--

