

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.46936 of 2015

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -57 Year- 2015 Thana -KOILWAR District- BHOJPUR

=====

Vinod Kumar Sah, Son of Sri Bishwanath Sah, Resident of village-
Chhotaki Bishupur, P.S. Shahpur, District- Bhojpur.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Bihar.
2. The Zonal Director, Narcotic Control Bureau, Bihar.

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Prabhu Narayan Sharma

For the Opposite Party : Mr. Uday Pratap Singh(App)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA KUMAR MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

3 17-02-2016 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the

learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner is an accused in connection with
Koilarwar P.S. Case No. 57 of 2015, registered under Sections
20(B)(I)/22 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substance Act
of the Indian Penal Code, pending in the court of Sessions Judge,
Bhojpur, Ara.

The prosecution case is that the informant Officer-in-
Charge of Koilarwar Police Station after receiving secret
information about carrying the Ganja on a Pic-up van started to
checking the vehicle and in that course one vehicle bearing Reg.
No. BR-03K-7918 was stopped by the Police and on seeing the
Police personnel, three persons, including the driver of the said
vehicle started to flee away, but driver and two others were

apprehended on the vehicle, who disclosed their names as Sanjay Kumhar, Guddu Kumar and Manoj Kumar Sah on search of the vehicle, 11 packets of ganja each contained 10 Kg recovered from the Pickup van.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner has been apprehended by the Police mere on suspicion as the petitioner being the passenger was traveling in the said vehicle, which is said to be carrying the Ganja. Further submission is that petitioner is in custody since 05.03.2015.

Learned A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State opposed the prayer of bail and submitted that petitioner has criminal antecedent and he is also accused in similar nature of case i.e. Palamu Sadar (T) P.S. Case No. 384 of 2006.

Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and the nature of allegation, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is rejected. However, the trial court is directed to conclude the trial as early as possible.

(Rajendra Kumar Mishra, J)

manish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--