

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15581 of 2015

=====

Pankaj Kumar

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

Madhuri Kumari

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pranav Kumar Jha

For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MUNGESHWAR
SAHOO
ORAL ORDER

2 20-05-2016

Heard learned counsel Mr. Subodh Jha for the
petitioner.

Perused the order dated 10.06.2015 passed by learned
Principal Judge, Family Court, Vaishali at Hajipur in Restitution
Case No.167 of 2014 whereby the court below in exercise of
jurisdiction under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act directed
the petitioner to pay Rs.4,000/- per month as maintenance
recording a finding that the petitioner did not disclose his income
per month and that in support of the contention of the petitioner
that the wife is also employed as teacher, no document was
produced.

In view of the above facts and circumstances of the case
the court below has rightly accepted the statement made by the
wife that the petitioner is earning Rs.30,000/- per month and,
therefore, in supervisory jurisdiction under Article 227 of the

Constitution of India this Court cannot hold panchayati and fix the maintenance amount under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act. Thus, I find no reason to interfere with the impugned order. Accordingly, this writ application is dismissed.

(Mungeshwar Sahoo, J)

Harish/-

U			
---	--	--	--