

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16280 of 2015

=====

1. Jai Ram Ram S/o - Late Ram Bachan Ram, R/v - Belaury, P.S. - Mohaniya, Distt. - Kaimur.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Panchayati Raj Bibhag, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Vigilance Department, Suchana Bhawan, Patna.
4. The Principal Secretary, Rural Development Department, Bihar, Patna.
5. The Principal Secretary, Welfare Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
6. The District Magistrate, Kaimur, Bhabhua.
7. The Deputy Director (Planning) Panchayati Raj Bibhag, Bihar, Patna.
8. The Programme Officer, Kaimur Bhabhua.
9. The Bal Vikas Pariyojana Padadhikari, Mohaniya, Kaimur, Bhabhua.
10. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Mohaniya, Kaimur, Bhabhua.
11. The Circle Officer, Mohaniya, Kaimur, Bhabhua.
12. The Block Development Officer, Mohaniya, Kaimur, Bhabhua.
13. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Belaury, Block - Mohaniya, Distt. - Kaimur.
14. The Mukhiya Gram Panchayat, Belaury, Block - Mohaniya, Distt. - Kaimur.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vijay Kumar Sinha

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Jai Shankar Barnawal, GA 5

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI
SHARAN SINGH

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 22-01-2016 Heard Mr.Vijay Kumar Sinha, learned counsel for the petitioner, and Mr. Jai Shankar Barnawal, learned Government Advocate No.5, appearing for the respondents.

By making this application under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, in the form of Public Interest Litigation, the

petitioner, who has described himself as Surpanch of Balaori Gram Panchayat, Block- Mohaniya, Kaimur, Bhabhua, has alleged defalcation of public money by Mukhiya of Balaori Gram Panchayat and has sought for, *inter alia*, issuance of a writ, in the nature of *mandamus*, commanding respondent Nos. 1 to 13 to take legal action against respondent No.14 and others.

On repeated queries made by this Court, learned counsel for the petitioner could not submit anything to show that the petitioner has no alternative and/or efficacious remedy, more particularly, when he claims to be the Surpanch of the said Panchayat.

In view of the above, this writ application, in the form of Public Interest Litigation, is dismissed.

(I.A. Ansari, ACJ)

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

A.I./-

U			
---	--	--	--