

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3119 of 2015

In
SA 43 of 2011

- =====
1. Lalan Sah Son of Late Mukhlali Singh
 2. Suresh Kumar Son of Lalan Sah
 3. Rajesh Kumar Son of Lalan Sah
 4. Dinesh Kumar Son of Lalan Sah
 5. Krishna Kumar Son of Lalan Sah All are residence of Village- Saraw,
Post- Saraw, Police Station- Natwar, District- Rohtas.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Most. Lakshmina Devi Wife of Late Ayodhya Sah
2. Laxmi Sah
3. Mahendra Sah
4. Mintu Sah All are the Son of Late Ayodhya Sah All are the Resident of
Village- Saraw, Post- Saraw, Police Station- Natwar, District- Rohtas.
5. Shanti Devi Wife of Jagdish Sah, Resident of Village- Raghunathpur,
P.O.- Motha, P.S.- Karakat, District- Rohtas.
6. Anor Devi Wife of Faujdari Sah, Resident of Village- Jamrosh, Post-
Jamrosh, Police Station- Dinara, District- Rohtas.
7. Kamleshwar Sah S/o Late MukhLal Sah null
8. Nathuni Sah Son of Late Mukhlal Sah
9. Sanjay Kumar Gupta
10. Manoj Kumar Gupta
11. Pintu Kumar Gupta All are the Sons of Nathuni Sah Resident of
Village- Saraw, P.S.- Natwar, District- Rohtas.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dhaneshwar Prasad Gupta
For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V. NATH
ORAL ORDER

3 02-03-2016 This matter has been brought under the heading ‘To Be Mentioned’, in view of the typing mistake in the third line of the order dated 10.02.2016, wherein the word ‘contempt’ has been wrongly typed in place of the word ‘restoration’.

As it is inadvertent typing mistake, the order dated 10.02.2016, is modified to the extent that in the third line of the said order, in place of the word ‘contempt’, the word ‘restoration’ shall be read.

(V. Nath, J)

Ranjan/-

U			
---	--	--	--