

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.48386 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -37 Year- 2014 Thana -ROHTAS COMPLAINT CASE District-
SASARAM (ROHTAS)

=====

Kamran Mufeed @ Md. Kamran Mufeed S/o Md. Mufeed Uddin, resident
of A-32 Batla House, P.S. Jamiya Nagar, District (South East) Delhi
110025

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Mrs. Najmus Sehar W/o Kamran Mufeed Resident of A-32 Batla
House, P.S. Jamiya Nagar, Delhi -110025, D/o Jafar Raza Khan at Present
R/o Akbarpur, P.S. + District-Rohtas Bihar.

.... Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 28-11-2016 Heard Mr. Syed Md. Sabbir Alam, learned counsel
for the petitioner and learned Addl. Public Prosecutor.

The petitioner, who is husband of
Complainant/Opp.Party no.2, has prayed for grant of anticipatory
bail in Complaint Case No.37/2014 registered for the offence
under Sections 498A, 406 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections
3/ 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

It was submitted by learned counsel for the
petitioner that the petitioner has falsely been made accused in the
present case. The petitioner is still ready to live with the
complainant, whereas in paragraph-12 of the present petition, it

has been stated that the petitioner has already given divorce to the complainant.

In this case, the order of cognizance was passed long back in the month of April, 2014 and after cognizance, the court had issued summons, whereas the petitioner approached the Court of Session in the year 2016 for grant of anticipatory bail.

In view of allegation against the petitioner, who is husband of the complainant as well as the fact that the order of cognizance was passed long back in the year 2014, there is no reason to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail.

The petition stands dismissed.

(Rakesh Kumar, J)

NKS/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--