

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1190 of 2014

=====

Santan Singh, son of Sri Rambadan Singh, Village- Pateya, Post + P.S.- Madanpur,
District- Aurangabad, Bihar.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Chief Secretary, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Home Department, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Inspector General of Police, Bihar, Patna
5. The Deputy Inspector General of Police, Magadh Range Gaya, Bihar.
6. The District Magistrate, Aurangabad, Bihar.
7. The Superintendent of Police, Aurangabad, Bihar.
8. The Deputy Development Commissioner, Aurangabad, Bihar
9. The Sub Divisional Officer, Aurangabad, Bihar.
10. The S.D.P.O., Aurangabad, Bihar
11. Circle Officer, Madanpur, Aurangabad, Bihar
12. Thana Incharge Officer, Thana-Madanpur, Aurangabad, Bihar
13. Thana Incharge Officer, Thana Salaiya, Aurangabad, Bihar
14. Sri Vijay Pasi, Mukhiya, son of Sri Tetar Choudhary, Gram Panchayat- Beri,
Thana- Salaiya, District- Aurangabad, Bihar
15. Sri Santosh Kumar, son of Satyendra Choudhary, Village- Beri, Tola-
Choudhary Bagaha, Thana-Salaiya, Post- Beri (Khiriyawan), District- Aurangabad,
Bihar

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.

For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 22-06-2016

Heard the petitioner in person and learned GP-32 for the
State.

Pursuant to order dated 04.05.2016, a counter affidavit has
been filed on behalf of the respondent no. 7, Superintendent of Police,
Aurangabad, personally affirmed by him. Specific statement has been made
in the counter affidavit filed today, that upon reinvestigation, the police has
found the allegations against the petitioner to be false and accordingly, final

form has been submitted in all the three cases being Salaiya P.S.Case No. 16 of 2010, Madanpur P.S.Case No. 93 of 2011 and Madanpur P.S.Case No. 93 of 2012.

In view of the aforesaid, the above referred three cases, as far as they relate to the petitioner, stand quashed.

In view of the finding by the police that the petitioner has been falsely implicated and there appears to be recurrence of such false implication indicates that the petitioner is being targeted for having raised his voice and pointing out to the corruption in the District of Aurangabad by official and private persons.

In the aforesaid background, the Court would, thus, grant liberty to the petitioner to approach the Superintendent of Police, Aurangabad, in case, in future, he faces such adverse situation either of false implication or threat to his life and property. If the petitioner approaches the Superintendent of Police, Aurangabad with such complaint, the Superintendent of Police, Aurangabad shall be obliged to personally look into the matter and monitor the case so that no injustice is caused to the petitioner.

The application stands disposed off in the aforementioned terms.

(Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J)

Sujit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--