

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2219 of 2010

- =====
1. AMBIKA SINGH.
 2. KAWALPATI SINGH @ KALPATI SINGH.
BOTH SONS OF LATE RAMDHARI SIHGH.
 3. BADRI NARAYAN SINGH
 4. RAM NARAYAN SINGH.
 5. SITA SINGH.
SONS OF LATE BRIHASPATI SINGH.
 6. RAJGRIHI DAS.
 7. BAHADUR SINGH, BOTH SONS OF LATE RAM JANAM SINGH.
 8. MOSTT. BASANTI DEVI @ BASANTIA @ BATASO, WIDOW OF
LATE BASANT SINGH.
 9. RANA PRATAP SINGH, GANGA.
 10. SUBEDAR SINGH, BOTH SONS OF LATE BASANT SINGH
ALL R/O VILLAGE JEEVPUR, P.S. DHANSO IN DISTRICT
BUXAR.

.... PETITIONER/S

VERSUS

1. GHURAHU SINGH @ GHURPHEKAN SINGH, S/O LATE
BABULAL SINGH.
2. MADAN SINGH.
3. RAMANUJ SINGH.
4. RAMJEE SINGH, S/O LATE SHEOMUNI SINGH.
5. RAM EKBAL SINGH, S/O BRIJLAL SINGH.
6. SURAJ NARAYAN SINGH.
7. SUBHAS SINGH.
8. VIJAY NARAYAN SINGH.
SONS OF LATE RAMASHRAY SINGH.
ALL RESIDENTS OF VILLAGE JEEVPUR,P.O.- MANIKPUR, P.S.
DHANSOIN DISTRICT BUXAR.
9. THE STATE OF BIHAR THROUGH COLLECTOR, BUXAR.
10. DIRECTOR OF CONSOLIDATION, BIHAR , 4TH FLOOR CO-
OPERATIVE LAND DEVELOPMENT BANK BUDHA MARG, P.S.
KOTWALI DISTRICT PATNA.
11. RAM JATAN SINGH, S/O LATE DOMAN SINGH..

.... RESPONDENT/S

=====

Appearance:

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shree Nath Pandey-1
Mr. Tarkeshwar Pd. Verma
For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR TRIVEDI
ORAL ORDER

13 21-09-2016

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as
learned counsel representing the petitioners of I.A. No.7453/2016.

I.A. No.7453/2016 has been filed on behalf of heirs

of respondent no.11 who once upon a time was one of the plaintiff and further, the substitution relating to him on account of his death so made under I.A. No.2032/2016 was dismissed on account of delay.

Considering the submission having made on behalf of petitioners, subject to cost of rupees one thousand, the same is allowed. Cost should be deposited in High Court Legal Services Committee within fortnight and receipt should be tagged with the instant petition, failing which the order will cease to survive.

Considering the submission having made on behalf of petitioners, within a week the peremptory order dated 08.01.2010 passed in F.A. No.769/1995 is going to be complied with. That being so, with the aforesaid condition F.A. No.769/1995 is directed to revive. In case the requisites are not deposited within a week then in that event the F.A. No.769/1995 will have to meet with same sequence.

With the aforesaid condition MJC No.2219/2010 is allowed.

(Aditya Kumar Trivedi, J)

Prakash Narayan

U			
---	--	--	--