

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.29112 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -129 Year- 2016 Thana -BIHARSHARIF District- NALANDA
(BIHARSHARIFF)

=====

DR. URMILA SINGH WIFE OF SATYENDRA PRASAN SINGH
RESIDENT OF VILLAGE/MOHALLA- PURANI JAIL P.S. BIHAR
SHARIF, DISTRICT- NALANDA.

.... PETITIONER/S

VERSUS

THE STATE OF BIHAR

.... OPPOSITE PARTY/S

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Uday Prasad Singh, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Surendra Prasad Singh. APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR TRIVEDI
ORAL ORDER

2 27-07-2016

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as
learned Additional Public Prosecutor.

Petitioner is a doctor who along with others in
deceitful manner succeeded in getting the amount without
operating the concerned patients under different schemes.
From the order impugned, it is evident that the petitioner had
already deposited the amount but, the learned counsel for the
petitioner is not aware how much amount petitioner had
procured and how much amount has been deposited.

The learned lower court is directed to have proper
verification on that very score and in case, some amount is still
found due at the end of petitioner and further, the remaining
amount, if any, is paid at the instance of petitioner, or the
alleged amount is found already deposited then in that event,
petitioner Dr. Urmila Singh will be released on bail released on
bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/-(ten thousand) with
two sureties of the like amount each, to the satisfaction of

learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Nalanda at Bihar Sharif in connection with Bihar P.S. Case No.129 of 2016 with an undertaking that henceforth she will not indulge herself in such kind of activity failing which not only the privilege of bail will be withdrawn rather the prosecution will be at liberty to move before the Medical Council for cancellation of license having in favour of petitioner. However, it is made clear that the deposit of amount will not be considered prejudicial to the interest of the petitioner, during course of trial.

(Aditya Kumar Trivedi, J.)

PN/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--