

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6224 of 2014

=====

Md. Tayeb Son Of Md. Hasim Resident Of Village- Damodarpur, Paithan Toli,
P.O- Damodarpur, P.S- Kanti, District- Muzaffarpur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar.
2. The Principal Secretary, Industry Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The General Manager, the District Industry Centre, Muzaffarpur, District- Muzaffarpur.
4. The District Magistrate, Muzaffarpur, District- Muzaffarpur.
5. Central Bank of India through the Chairman, Head Office Chandramukhi, Nariman Point, Mumbai.
6. The Chairman- Cum- Managing Director, Central Bank Of India, Head Office Chandramukhi, Nariman Point, Mumbai.
7. The Zonal Manager, Central Bank of India, Zonal Office, Muzaffarpur.
8. The Regional Manager, Central Bank of India, Regional Office, Muzaffarpur.
9. The Branch Manager, Central Bank of India, Damodarpur Branch, District- Muzaffarpur.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None

For the Respondent/s : Mr. GP3-S.K.JHA

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 18-01-2016

Despite repeated calls no one appears on behalf of the
petitioner to press the writ petition.

2. Learned counsel for the Respondent Bank refers to
his counter affidavit and submits that pursuant to spot
verification, the proposal for the loan was not found viable and
accordingly the proposal was returned by the Respondent Bank.

3. Be that as it may, in view of non-representation on

behalf of the petitioner when the matter is called, the writ petition stands dismissed for non-prosecution.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Chandran

U			
---	--	--	--