

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7996 of 2015

=====

Shivendra Kumar Singh. Son of Late Jamuna Prasad Singh. Resident of Village - Noniadih Mahadewa, P.S.- Raxaul, District - East Champaran, Member No. 112 of Noniadih Primary Agriculture Credit Society Ltd., Noniadih, at present residing at Mohalla - Islampur, Ward No. 8, Nagar Parishad, Raxaul, P.S.- Raxaul, District - East Champaran (Motihari).

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, Department of Co-operatives, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Registrar, Co-operative Societies, Department of Co-operative, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, East Champaran, Motihari.
4. The District Co-operative Officer, East Champaran, Motihari.
5. The Assistant Registrar, Co-operative Societies, Motihari (Sadar) Motihari.
6. The Managing Director, the Central Co-operative Bank, Motihari.
7. The Block Development Officer, Raxaul Block, P.S.- Raxaul, District - East Champaran.
8. The Branch Manager, Motihari Central Co-operative Bank, Branch - Raxaul, P.S.- Raxaul, District - East Champaran.
9. The Noniadih Primary Agriculture Credit Society, Noniadih, East Champaran, Through the Block Co-operative Officer, Raxaul Block, P.S.- Raxaul, District - East Champaran (Motihari).

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Amar Nath Mishra, Advocate
For the Respondent State: Mr. Ashok Kumar Choudhary, AAG-13
Mr. Avanindra Kumar Jha, AC to AAG-13
For the Respondent No.6 : Mr. Bindhyachal Rai, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN SINGH

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 13-01-2016 Heard Mr. Amar Nath Mishra, learned Counsel for the petitioner, and Mr. Ashok Kumar Choudhary, learned Additional Advocate General No.13, appearing for the State respondents. Heard also Mr. Bindhyachal Rai, learned Counsel,

appearing for the respondent No. 6.

After some arguments, Mr. Amar Nath Mishra, learned Counsel for the petitioner, seeks permission to withdraw this application, made, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, in the nature of Public Interest Litigation, with liberty to approach the appropriate authority with appropriate application, if so advised, and/or to take recourse to such provisions of law as may be permissible.

No objection has been raised by the respondents to the prayer for withdrawal made by the petitioner.

In view of the above and in the interest of justice, this writ application is hereby disposed of as withdrawn with liberty granted to the petitioner to approach the appropriate authority with appropriate application, if so advised, and/or to take recourse to such provisions of law as may be permissible.

However, we make it clear that we have not expressed any opinion on the merit of the present writ petition.

(I.A. Ansari, ACJ)

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

Pawan/-

U			
---	--	--	--