

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11727 of 2012

=====

MD.MUSTAK ALI @ MD.MUSTAK SON OF LATE AHMAD MIAN
RESIDENT OF VILLAGE - DUMWALIA, P.O. NARAIPUR, P.S. -
BAGAHA, DISTRICT - WEST CHAMPARAN

.... PETITIONER/S

VERSUS

1. MAZID MIAN SON OF LATE PIRU MIAN RESIDENT OF
VILLAGE - DUMWALIA, P.O. NARAIPUR, P.S. - BAGAHA,
DISTRICT - WEST CHAMPARAN
2. AZIZ MIAN SON OF PIRU MIAN
3. ZALIL MIAN SON OF PIRU MIAN
BOTH RESIDENT OF VILLAGE - DUMWALIA, P.O. NARAIPUR,
P.S. - BAGAHA, DISTRICT - WEST CHAMPARAN.
4. PHULA KHATOON DAUGHTER OF PIRU MIAN AND WIFE OF
KARI MIAN RESIDENT OF VILLAGE - PARSA, P.O. BARWA
TOLA, P.S. MANUA POOL, DISTRICT - WEST CHAMPARAN

.... RESPONDENT/S

=====

Appearance:

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Damodar Prasad Tiwary, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR TRIVEDI
ORAL ORDER

2 03-02-2016 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner. Gone
through the order impugned.

From para-2 of the plaint, it is evident that partition
in the family of Piru Mian and others which was materialized by a
registered deed of partition dated 15.05.1985 and on the basis
thereof, the land allotted to the share of Piru Mian is the subject
matter of instant partition suit being drawn by the plaintiff Mazid
Mian claiming himself to be the son of Piru Mian against his other
brothers. Certainly, petitioner is not connected with Piru Mian but
intends to challenge the registered partition of the 1985 for which,

petitioner may take proper recourse available to him in accordance with law. So far order impugned is concerned that does not need interference and is accordingly, rejected.

(Aditya Kumar Trivedi, J.)

PN/-

U			
---	--	--	--