

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.20361 of 2016

Arising out of PS.Case No. -82 Year- 2015 Thana -NIMACHANDPUR District- BEGUSARAI

Manjesh Kumar Yadav @ Manjesh Yadav, S/o Shivnandan Yadav,
resident of village - Rajopur, P.S. Dandari, District – Begusarai.

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Sada Nand Roy, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party : Mr. H.A.Khan(App)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 19-07-2016 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

The petitioner is languishing in custody since 01.02.2016
in connection with Neema Chandpura P.S. Case No. 82/2015 for
the offences instituted under Section 386 of the IPC.

The prosecution story, in brief, is that the informant
received mobile calls on his mobile and the caller demanded Rs.
15,00,000/- otherwise both the brothers would be killed. Later on,
demand was reduced to Rs. 5,00,000/- which was not given then it
was increased to Rs. 10,00,000/-.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the
petitioner is in custody since 01.02.2016 and the charge sheet has
been submitted in the present case. The petitioner is not named in

the F.I.R. In course of investigation, it has come that ransom was demanded from the mobile of the petitioner. The said evidence has come in paragraph no. 39 of the case diary. Except for this there is no evidence to implicate the petitioner in the present case.

On behalf of the State it has been submitted that the petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. but he has as many as five cases pending against him.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage. The same is rejected in Neema Chandpura P.S. Case No. 82/2015, pending in the court of the learned J.M. Ist Class, Begusarai.

U.K./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

(Sudhir Singh, J)