

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7175 of 2016

=====

1. M/s Nandan Ghar Sansar Developers Private Ltd. a company incorporated under provisions of the Companies Act, 1956, having its registered office at Saroj Complex, Motijheel, Muzaffarpur, through its Director, Sudhis Nandan Singh, Son of Dr. Siyaram Sharan Singh' Saroj' resident of Doipti Kunj Mautha Compound, P.O.- Ramna, Muzaffarpur, P.S.- Mithanpura, District- Muzaffarpur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Bihar State Power (Holding) Company Limited, Vidyut Bhawan Bailey Road, Patna, through its Chairman-Cum-Managing Director.
2. The North Bihar Power Distribution Company Limited, Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna, through its Managing Director.
3. The Managing Director, North Bihar Power Distribution Company Limited, Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
4. The Finance Controller (Revenue), North Bihar Power Distribution Company Limited, Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
5. The Electrical Superintending Engineer, Muzaffarpur Circle, North Bihar Power Distribution Company Limited, having its office at Ram Dayalu, Muzaffarpur.
6. The Electrical Executive Engineer (Commercial & Revenue), Muzaffarpur Electric Supply Circle, North Bihar Power Distribution Company Limited, Ram Dayalu, Muzaffarpur.
7. The Assistant Electrical Engineer, Muzaffarpur Electric Supply Circle, North Bihar Power Distribution Company Limited, Ram Dayalu, Muzaffarpur.
8. The Ombudsman, Bihar Electricity, Regulatory Commission, Vidyut Bhawan Annexe, Bailey Road, Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr Gyan Prakash
Mr. Narendra Kumar Singh
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Vinay Kirti Singh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 23-05-2016

The writ application is required to be dismissed because the Court comes to a considered opinion that the petitioner is only playing games by using the High Court by selectively tweaking with the so-called relief when basically the dispute is of electricity bills, which are outstanding against the petitioner.

Annexure- 11 is a complete answer by itself. It is either take it or leave it for the petitioner, especially when the said order has also been affirmed or at least permitted to be withdrawn, after an unsuccessful attempt at the Division Bench.

Writ is dismissed.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J)

sk

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	24.5.2016
Transmission Date	