

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7170 of 2016

=====

Shamim Ahmad, Son of Late Mahammad Abdullah, resident of Village and P.O. - Belhori, P.S.- Bikram, District- Patna, at present residing ar Guliastan Monallar, Near Islamic B.Ed. College, Ishapur Road, P.S. - Phulwarisharif, District- Patna

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Secretary, Public Works Department, Vishwasarariya Bhawan Road Patna.
3. The Executive Engineer, Road Construction Department.
4. The Accountant General Birchand Patel Marg Patna.
5. The Treasury Officer, Sachiwalaya Bhawan Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Piyush Saurav, Adv.
For the Respondent nos.1to3&5 : Mr. Amish Kumar, AC to AAG-8
For the Respondent no.4 : Mr.Binod Kumar Labh, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA PRASAD VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 22-09-2016 After having heard the parties, this Court finds that the pleadings in the present writ petition are completely vague and sketchy one, and all the relevant materials have not been brought on the record. Apart from that, this Court finds that the petitioner had moved earlier thrice either before the Ranchi Bench of the Patna High Court or before the High Court of Jharkhand at Ranchi, which is evident from Annexure-1 to 3. For almost same relief the petitioner has filed the present writ petition belatedly. He claims to have superannuated from service on 31.01.2000, but the present writ petition has been filed on 20.04.2016 i.e. after delay of about 16 years. Apparently, the claim of the petitioner seems to be stale.

In above view of the matter as also in view of the fact that the writ petition is very vague and sketchy one, the reliefs

sought for on behalf of the petitioner cannot be granted.

The writ petition is devoid of merit and is, accordingly, dismissed.

(Birendra Prasad Verma, J)

Arvind/-

U			
---	--	--	--