

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2778 of 2015

=====

1. Lakshmi Narayan Lal Das (Retired Messenger (Sandesh Wahak) Son of Late Munni Lal Das, Resident of Village & P.O. - Basudevpur, P.S. and District - Darbhanga.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Bihar State Power (holding) Company Limited through its Chairman-cum-Managing Director, Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
2. The Secretary Bihar State Power (Holding) Company, Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
3. The General Manager (Terminal Benefit), Bihar State Power Holding (Company) Limited, Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
4. The Project Manager, Technical Service, Bihar State Electricity Board, Patna.
5. The General Manager-cum-Chief Engineer, Kosi Zonal Electricity Board, Saharsa.
6. The Electrical Executive Engineer, T.R.W., Katihar.
7. The Accountant, Electricity Supply Circle, Purnea.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bijendra Kumar Singh
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Vinay Kirti Singh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KISHORE KUMAR
MANDAL
ORAL ORDER

7 06-05-2016 Heard Mr. Singh for the petitioner and Mr. Shashi Kumar Sinha who assists Ms. Mishra for the respondent- North Bihar Power Distribution Company Ltd. Patna (for short 'the Company').

The application seeks a direction for payment of post retiral dues as set out in para-1 of the writ petition.

Counter affidavit on behalf of the concerned respondent is filed. No rejoinder thereto on behalf of the petitioner

has been filed.

Counsel for the respondent Company referring to the statements made in para-4 has taken a stand that all admissible dues under diverse heads have been sanctioned/paid to the petitioner. So far as the gratuity amount of Rs. 5,14,355/- is concerned, the same shall be paid after recovery of the penal rent and electricity bill(s) payable by the petitioner to the Company. This Court expects the said amount after the recovery, as indicated in the counter affidavit shall also be paid to the petitioner without unnecessary delay. The Court would, however, notice the stand of the respondent-Company that the said amount has also been paid to the petitioner. If it is not so paid it shall be open to the petitioner to make a representation for payment of said amount for consideration and disposal in accordance with law.

(Kishore Kumar Mandal, J)

Shyam/-

U			
---	--	--	--