

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10713 of 2013

=====

1. Lakshminiya Devi Wife Of Raudi Mandal Resident Of Village -
Gangawara, Post Office - Baligarh, Police Station - Runnisaidpur, District -
Sitamarhi

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through The District Magistrate, Sitamarhi
2. The Superintendent Of Police, Sitamarhi
3. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Sadar, Sitamarhi
4. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms , Sadar, Sitamarhi
5. The Deputy Superintendent Of Police, Sadar, Sitamarhi
6. The Circle Officer, Runnisaidpur, Sitamarhi
7. The Officer In Charge, Runnisaidpur, Police Station , District - Sitamarhi
8. Manoj Mandal Son Of Raji Mandal Resident Of Village - Gangawara,
Post Office - Baligarh, Police Station - Runnisaidpur, District - Sitamarhi
9. Rajgir Mandal Son Of Sukeswar Mandal Resident Of Village -
Gangawara, Post Office - Baligarh, Police Station - Runnisaidpur, District -
Sitamarhi
10. Ram Suraj Mandal Son Of Achchelal Mandal Resident Of Village -
Gangawara, Post Office - Baligarh, Police Station - Runnisaidpur, District -
Sitamarhi
11. Devendra Mandal Son Of Rajgir Mandal Resident Of Village -
Gangawara, Post Office - Baligarh, Police Station - Runnisaidpur, District -
Sitamarhi
12. Tapeswar Mandal Son Of Gorakh Mandal Resident Of Village -
Gangawara, Post Office - Baligarh, Police Station - Runnisaidpur, District -
Sitamarhi
13. Chandra Kishore Mandal Son Of Gorakh Mandal Resident Of Village -
Gangawara, Post Office - Baligarh, Police Station - Runnisaidpur, District -
Sitamarhi
14. Jagdish Mandal Son Of Sonafi Mandal Resident Of Village -
Gangawara, Post Office - Baligarh, Police Station - Runnisaidpur, District -
Sitamarhi

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Manoj Kumar Sinha

For the Respondent/s : S.C.22

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KISHORE KUMAR
MANDAL
ORAL ORDER

2 11-02-2016

Head both the sides.

The application seeks direction for providing

protection to the petitioner. It appears that the petitioner has purchased the property in respect whereof a Suit has been filed by the son of the executor of the sale deed impleading the petitioner as defendant therein. Annexure 4 is an application filed by the petitioner in the said Partition Suit no. 318 of 2011 under Order 39, Rules 1 and 2 read with Section 151 C.P.C.

In my view, the petitioner should pursue the remedy, which she has invoked, before the learned court below.

This application stands disposed of.

It is stated by Sri Manoj Kumar Sinha, counsel for the petitioner that the said application shall be pursued by the petitioner for expeditious disposal of the matter.

(Kishore Kumar Mandal, J)

singh/-

U			
---	--	--	--